

MG-



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/483,399      | 01/14/2000  | Michael L. Trompower | TELNP200US          | 8324             |

23623 7590 01/30/2002

AMIN & TUROCY, LLP  
1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER  
24TH FLOOR,  
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2685

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*By*

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.  
**09/483,399**

Applicant(s)  
**Micheal L Trompower**

Examiner  
**Naghmeh Mehrpour**

Art Unit  
**2685**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-21, and 23-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3 and 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

**Attachment(s)**

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2                      20) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit:

**Information Disclosure Statement**

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 02/18/00 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-2, 4-21, 23-35**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rom (US Patent Number 5,450,616) in view of Fischer et al. (US Patent Number 5,768,605).

Regarding **Claims 1-2, 7, 12-13, 16-18, 29**, Rom teaches a communication an access point system in a cellular communication system utilizing an standard protocol, comprising: a transmitter adapted to transmit data over an RF link; a power control module coupled to the transmitter (Column 4 lines 30-45), a processor coupled to the power adjustment module, the processor being adapted to provide power adjustment information to the power control module; and a receiver coupled to the processor, the receiver adapted to receive data over an RF link wherein the access point system is coupled to a network (See figure 3, Column 6 lines 31-47). Rom fails to teach that the power control module having a data packet having a PLCP preamble and PLCP header portion and a data portion and dynamically adjust the transmission power of the

Art Unit:

packet during transmission of the packet, such that the PLCP preamble portion begins transmitting at a first transmission power level and the data portion begins transmitting at a second transmission power level. However Fischer teaches the power control module having a PLCP preamble and PLCP header portion and a data portion and dynamically adjust the transmission power of the packet during transmission of the packet, such that the PLCP preamble portion begins transmitting at a first transmission power level and the data portion begins transmitting at a second transmission power level (See figure 1, Column 2 lines 56-67, Column 3 lines 1-~~9~~<sup>15</sup>). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide above teaching of Fischer to Rom, in order to detect transmission error.

Regarding **Claims 4-5, 19-21, 32-35**, Rom teaches that a communication unit transmits the first portion of the data packet at a first data rate, the second portion of the data packet at a second data rate and the third portion of the data packet at a third data rate (Column 9 lines 50-68 Column 8 lines 15-66).

Regarding **Claim 6**, Rom fails to teach that the unit wherein the data packet conforms to the IEEE 802.11 standard protocol and the first portion of the data packet is a PLCP preamble, the second portion of the data packet is a PLCP header and the portion of the data packet is a data portion. However Fischer teaches a unit wherein the data packet conforms to the IEEE 802.11 standard protocol and the first portion of the data packet is a PLCP preamble, the second portion of the data packet is a PLCP header and the portion of the data packet is a data portion (See

Art Unit:

figure 1, Column 2 lines 62-67, Column 3 lines 1-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide above teaching of Fischer to Rom, in order to provide synchronsion signal control.

Regarding **Claim 8**, Rom teaches a network that nodes can communicate with each other directly. Thus a node may be a data sending node at one time and a data receiving node at another time, therefore nodes can be Mobile or Base station (See figure 1b, Column 4 lines 52-57)..

Regarding **Claims 9**, Rom teaches a unit wherein the power control module includes a transmission power amplifier 35 adapted to receive the power data packet and dynamically control the transmission power of the first portion and the second portions (See figure 3, Column 5 lines 19-30).

Regarding **Claim 10-11, 14, 30-31**, Rom fails to teach a unit wherein the power control module includes a transmission power amplifier adapted to receive the data packet , control the transmission power of the PLCP preamble portion and the data portion, the transmission power amplifier coupled to a D/A converter a D/A converter adapted to receive power data information in digital format and convert the power data information to an analog control signal, the analog signal adapted to control the transmission power of the transmission power amplifier. However Fischer teaches a unit wherein the power control module includes a transmission power amplifier adapted to receive the data packet and control the transmission power of the PLCP preamble portion and the data portion, the transmission power amplifier coupled to a D/A converter a D/A converter adapted to receive power data information in digital format and convert the power data

Art Unit:

information to an analog control signal, the analog signal adapted to control the transmission power of the transmission power amplifier (See figures 1, 2, Column 3 lines 1-19, lines 29-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide above teaching of Fischer to Rom, in order to provide a system that has a better performance with high signal qualities.

Regarding **Claim 15**, Rom fails teach a unit further including a processor coupled to the power data register section, the processor adapted to transmit the power data information to the power data register section. However Fischer teaches a unit further including a processor coupled to the power data register section, the processor adapted to transmit the power data information to the power data register section (See figure 3, Column 4 lines 28-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide above teaching of Fischer to Rom, in order to calculate the power transmission of received data.

Regarding **Claims 23-28**, Rom teaches method wherein a step of providing a communication unit precedes the step of transmitting a first portion of the data packet at a first transmission power level, the communication unit including a transmitter, a power control module coupled to the transmitter, a processor coupled to the power control module and a receiver coupled to the processor (See figure 3, Column 11 lines 9-45).

*Allowable Subject Matter*

Art Unit:

4. **Claims 3, 22**, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Conclusion**

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Brownlie** (US Patent 5,289,459) disclose duplex data transmission

**Ariyavisitakul et al.** (US Patent 5,333,175) disclose method and apparatus for dynamic power control in TDMA portable radio system

6. **Any responses to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications indented for entry)

**Or:**

(703) 308-6306, (for informal or draft communications, please label

“PROPOSED” or “DRAFT”)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. Va., sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melody Mehrpour whose telephone number is (703) 308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (first week of bi-week) and Monday through Friday (second week of bi-week) from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempt to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached (703)305-4385.

NM

Jan 24, 2002

  
EDWARD F. URBAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER